Interview Summary	09/895,678		DREWERY, JOHN STEPHEN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Edna Wong		1741	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Edna Wong.	(3)			
(2) Edmund H. Mizumoto.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 17 September 2002.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The telephone call did not result in an election being made</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).</li> </ul>	parate record	of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP MONTH FRO	Section 713.04). M THIS INTERV	If a reply to the	e last Office FILE A
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	E	Examiner's signa	ture, if required	

Application No

Applicant(s)